

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/621,715	07/24/2000	Hadi Partovi	TM00-005.US	8722
24488 75	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
BEVER, HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP			ANWAH, OLISA	
1432 CONCANNON BLVD BLDG G		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIVERMORE,	CA 94550-6006	2645		
			DATE MAILED, 02/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/621,715	PARTOVI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Olisa Anwah	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2004.						
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 893)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,608,460 (hereinafter Carter) in view of Padden et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,979,206 (hereinafter Padden).

Regarding claim 12, Padden discloses a voice response system having a telephone interface and a recognition grammar for recognizing a set of spoken utterances in which each spoken utterance of the set has an associated alphanumeric string identifier (see Figures 1-3), a method of interpreting input comprising:

receiving a DTMF key sequence over the telephone interface;

determining a constrained recognition grammar to recognize

a subset of spoken utterances, wherein the subset comprises each

Application/Control Number: 09/621,715

Art Unit: 2645

spoken utterance of the set that has an associated alphanumeric string identifier that maps to a DTMF sequence that is equivalent to the DTMF key sequence;

Page 3

playing the subset of spoken utterances over the telephone input to solicit an input;

in response to receiving the input over the telephone, processing the voice input against the constrained recognition grammar to determine a matching element of the subset; and

playing an audio message corresponding to the matching element (see Figures 5A-C).

With further respect to claim 12, Carter does not teach the input is a voice input. Nonetheless, Padden discloses this limitation (see abstract and column 9). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Carter with the voice mechanism taught by Padden. This modification would have improved the flexibility of Carter by allowing for an automatic directory assistance system that toggles between voice and DTMF commands as suggested by Padden (column 9) and Carter (column 1).

Art Unit: 2645

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

D.A

Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner March 16, 2005

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600